## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

LEMARR JENNINGS, et al., : Case No. 1:13-cv-868

.

Plaintiffs, : Judge Timothy S. Black

Magistrate Judge Karen L. Litkovitz

vs.

:

DOUGLAS M. RASTAHER, et al.,

:

Defendants. :

DECISION AND ENTRY: (1) ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE (Doc. 4); (2) DENYING PLAINTIFF SHEPHARD'S MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (Doc. 1); (3) DISMISSING PLAINTIFF SHEPHARD'S COMPLAINT (Doc. 3); AND (4) CERTIFYING THAT AN APPEAL WOULD NOT BE TAKEN IN GOOD FAITH

This case is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Karen L. Litkovitz. (Doc. 4). The Magistrate Judge recommends that: (1) Plaintiff Alonzo Dean Shephard's ("Shephard") Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis be denied; (2) Shephard be assessed the full filing fee of \$350; (3) Shephard's Complaint be dismissed as malicious; and (4) the Court certify that any appeal would not be taken in good faith. (Doc. 4). Plaintiffs filed Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. (Doc. 7). The matter is now ripe for decision.

As required by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), upon reviewing Plaintiffs' filings and the comprehensive findings of the Magistrate Judge *de novo*, the Court: (1) **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge in its entirety (Doc. 4); (2) **DENIES** Shephard's Motion for Leave to Proceed *In Forma* 

Pauperis (Doc. 1); (3) DISMISSES Shephard's Complaint sua sponte as malicious; and(4) CERTIFIES that an appeal of this Decision would not be taken in good faith.IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 21114

Timothy S. Black

United States District Judge